



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/904,686 | 07/13/2001 | Hiroyo Masuda | FUJY 18.847 | 5599 | | |
| 7590 06/02/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN | | | FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A | | | |
| 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK., NY 10022-2585 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ,, | | | 3627 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/904,686 | MASUDA | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Joseph A. Fischetti | 3627 | | |

| The MAILING DATE of this con | nmunication appears on the co | ver sheet with the co | rrespondence address |
|--|---|---|--|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2005</u> FAILS TO | PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN | CONDITION FOR ALL | OWANCE. |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection must timely file one of the following replaced condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with a) | olies: (1) an amendment, affidavi Appeal (with appeal fee) in com 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must b | t, or other evidence, when the pliance with 37 CFR 41 e filed within one of the | nich places the application in 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued |
| | mailing date of this Advisory Action, eriod for reply expire later than SIX M | or (2) the date set forth in ONTHS from the mailing | - |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJEC | CTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFF have been filed is the date for purposes of determ under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the eset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receiving reduce any earned patent term adjustment. SOUTICE OF APPEAL | ining the period of extension and the expiration date of the shortened statuded by the Office later than three more | corresponding amount of ory period for reply originate | the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) a |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing was filed on A brief in compliant Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be to nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | iled within two months to avoid dismissal of t | of the date of filing the Notice of |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. | d require further consideration ar | _ | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or | e application in better form for a | | |
| (d) They present additional claims w NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1. | 116 and 41.33(a)). | • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance | | ned Notice of Non-Com | pliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the fo Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). | • | mitted in a separate, ti | mely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed: | I be rejected is provided below o | | be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | · | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after because applicant failed to provide a swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFI | howing of good and sufficient rea | · · | · · · —— |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after entered because the affidavit or other entered because the afficient reasons | er the date of filing a Notice of Apevidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> re | ejections under appeal | and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is ente | • | of the claims after ent | ry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has be | een considered but does NOT p | lace the application in | condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclos 13. Other: | sure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 o | r PTO-1449) Paper No | (s) |
| | JOSEPH A. FISCHETTI | | |
| | PAIMARY EXAMINER | | The newly added limitation of calculating accounting quantities |
| | 571 272 6780 | | during the utilization requires |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office